

You are here: <u>Home</u> / Pre-Qualify / Irrigation Input / Sprinkler Input / **Results**

Center Pivot / Linear-Move Analysis

The information below will help aid you in determining potential options for reducing irrigation costs.

The first section provides baseline estimates of energy use. The following sections show energy savings estimates if the system pressure can be reduced, if irrigation scheduling would reduce one irrigation event per year, if the pumping efficiency could be increased, or the potential cost savings if a different fuel/energy type was used.

The Alternative Energy Option section will allow you to determine if you are using the lowest cost fuel available today.

Total System length, ft	8	feet
Estimated Acres Irrigated	0	acres
Pumping		
Total Dynamic Head	108	feet
Total Engine/Motor Brake Horsepower	9	hp
Total CP horsepower*	11	hp
Estimated Hours operated per year	0	hours
Annual Estimated Energy Usage		
Well Pump Power Unit	2	kilowatt-hr
Tower and Booster motors	0	kilowatt-hr
Annual Irrigation Cost per Acre	48	\$ / Acre
Annual Fuel Cost	\$ 0	\$ / year
Btu Energy Equivalent	6826	Btu / yr
<u>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</u>	4	lbs CO2 / year
'*' - includes booster and tower motors		
System Pressure Reduction Potential*		
Current Pressure at Center Pivot / linear drive unit	38	psi
Current estimated pressure at end of lateral	35	psi
Low pressure sprinklers pressure requirement	30	psi
Potential Horsepower Reduction	1	hp
Energy Savings	0	kilowatt-hr
Cost Savings	\$ 0	\$ / year

/ AIVI	Ellergy Sell Ass	sessment - imgauc	JII Seli Assessilletii i	OOI	
Btu Energy Equ	iivalent			0	Btu / yr
Greenhouse gas emission reduction / (increase)					lbs CO2 / year
'*' - Potentiona	l Savings by reducing system p	ressure to 30) psi at end of s	syster	n.
Potential Savir	ngs from Irrigation Scheduli	ng*			
Electricity				0	kilowatt-hr
Cost Savings				\$ 0	\$ / year
Btu Energy Equivalent				0	Btu / yr
Greenhouse gas emission reduction / (increase)				0	lbs CO2 / year
'*' - Energy Sa	vings assuming one less irrigati	on event per	year		
Pumping Effici	ency Increase*			-	
Potential increa	ase in pumping efficiency			0 %	
Potential Energy Savings with 5% increase in pumping efficiency				0	kilowatt-hr
Cost Savings				\$ 0	\$ / year
Btu Energy Equivalent				0	Btu / yr
Greenhouse gas emission reduction / (increase)				0	lbs CO2 / year
'*' - Potential if	pumping efficiency is increased	1			
Alternative En	ergy Options				
Use this tool to e	evaluate the economics of fuel s	switching			
Current Energy	Source	Electricity			
Current Unit Energy Cost 0.10 / ki				tt - hı	r
-	valent Unit Energy Costs ase energy for less than the sta	ated costs be	low vou will sa	ve en	erav costs
Diesel	<u></u>	1.41	\$ / gallo		3,
Electricity			\$ / kWh		
Natural Gas		0.75	\$ / Therm or CCF		
LP Gas / Propane		0.78	\$ / gallo		
Gasoline		0.98	\$ / gallo		
	Alternate energy source	<u>—</u>			
	Consider an alternate energy	source	Electricity ~		
	Enter unit energy cost		0		\$/kWh